Application No. 10/630,890 Reply to Office action of October 16, 2006

REMARKS

Claims 1-23 and 25 are pending. In the present Office action, claims 1-23 and 25 were rejected. In response, Applicants have amended claim 1, leaving claims 2-23 and 25 unchanged. Reconsideration and allowance of the claims in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein are respectfully requested.

112 Rejections

Claims 1-23 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter regarded as the invention. Particularly, the Examiner indicated that the claim 1 limitation - "comprises a single integrated piece" is confusing, and that claims 1-23 and 25 would be allowable if Applicants replaced the limitation with "consists of a single integrated piece."

Claim 1 has been amended to recite "wherein said cap consists of a single integrated piece." Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections.

CONCLUSION

Entry of the Amendment and allowance of claims 1-23 and 25 are respectfully requested. Applicants reserve the right to pursue additional subject matter at a later date. The undersigned is available for telephone consultation at the number below.

Respectfully submitted,

Ila M. Petrono

Carlo M. Cotrone Reg. No. 48,715

File No. 085488-9116 Michael Best & Friedrich LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue Suite 3300 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4108 414.271.6560 X:XCLIENTB\085488\9116\A1812753.1